Fast *know-how* workshop
on initiating
EU proposals

Module 4:
Evaluators Game

Pablo Garcia Tello (KT)
EVALUATION PROCEDURE
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Consensus
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Panel review
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Sometimes hearings (large projects)

Negotiations

Commission rejection decision

Commission funding decision

First formal letter (ESR)

Coordinators formally informed by the EC/funding agency
THE EVALUATION GAME – team exercise

1. Each team prepares a power point project presentation, using facilitated:
   - ppt template, a H2020 topic, a project idea
2. Presentation of your project idea to the rest, which play the EC evaluators (10 minutes)

   Explain a) context of your project b) objectives, c) main project results, d) phases & WPs, e) Consortium, f) European Dimension, g) Impact and Sustainability

   Inventing is allowed but preferable real project ideas!
   This is about how to convincingly and coherently apply the methodology and make a «good first impression» on the evaluators

   team leaves the room (5 minutes)

4. The evaluators select a coordinator (as is the case with EC evaluations) and assess the presented project (15 minutes) on 6 evaluation criteria, using the Evaluation Template, writing down:
   - Strong points (tops) and recommendations for improvement (tips)
   - Votes (0-5)

5. The team is called back-in and receives «the verdict» (5 minutes)

6. The course instructor plays the «nasty evaluator» and presents his evaluation (5 minutes)
## Template Evaluation Summary Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nr</th>
<th>Evaluation Criterium</th>
<th>Punt. (0-5)</th>
<th>Strong Points and Recommendations for improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Innovation</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Contribution to the programme</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>European Dimension of the topic/problem</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Quality and completeness of the consortium</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Workplan</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Impact and sustainability</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tip</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions?

OK if NOT...hands-on!